

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 6055 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
- 

BHARAT CHEM LABORATORIES

Versus

DIRECTOR

-----  
Appearance:

MR VS VYAS for Petitioners  
MR HL JANI for Respondent

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 03/10/97

-----  
ORAL JUDGMENT

#. The petitioners have directed this petition against the order of respondent do debar the petitioner No.1 for a period of three years from further transaction and dealing with respondent No.1-organization or any other office or organization of the Government of Gujarat and also proposing to cancel the registration of the petitioner undertaking with C.S.P.O. and D.G.S. & D /

N.S.I.C. due to which the acceptance letter issued by respondent No.1 in favour of the petitioner pursuant to the acceptance of its tenders/ offers will stand cancelled and in all probability, even the existing/ operating contracts may also stand automatically cancelled. The petitioners have also prayed for declaration of certain clauses of tenders of 1991 to be illegal and arbitrary.

#. This petition has been admitted by this Court on 18th September 1991 and interim relief prayed for by petitioners was not granted. So even if it is taken to be a case where the petitioner No.1 is debarred for three years from further transaction/ quotation with the respondent No.1-organization or any other office or organization of the Government of Gujarat, that period has come to an end by now. In view of this fact, this Special Civil Application has otherwise become infructuous. The learned counsel for the petitionr is unable to satisfy this Court whether any grievance of the petitioner still survives. The petitioners have also not brought on record the latest position of the matter.

#. In the result, this Special Civil Application is dismissed as having become infructuous. Rule discharged.  
No order as to costs.

.....

(sunil)